



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/628,380	07/29/2003	Dong Han Seo	LT-0037	4334
34610 7590 10/31/2007 KED & ASSOCIATES, LLP			EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 22120	00		TRAN, CON P	
Chantilly, VA 20153-1200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2615	···
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estambano 1 of time may be available under the previous of 37 CPR 1-38(i). In no levent, however, may a freyly be timely filled. If NO period for regly is specified above, the maximum statutory portion will expire SIX (8) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to regly is specified above, the maximum statutory portion will expire SIX (8) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to regly within the sict or extended period for regly will by statute, expens the application (2.0 st. 0.5 § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than there marking date of this communication, even it timely filled, may reduce any search greater than adjustment. Status 1) Sesponsive to communication(s) filled on 21 August 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 5-8.10-14.16.18.19 and 27-35 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) sis/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 5.8.10.11.13.14.16.18.19.27.29 and 31-36 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6.12.28 and 30 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 6.12.28 and 30 is/are objected to. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Con P. Tran Z615		10/628,380	SEO ET AL.					
Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extending for many be available under the systemic of \$7 CFR 1:1360, in no event, however, may a repty be simely filed in the communication of \$7 CFR 1:1360, in no event, however, may a repty be simely filed in the communication of \$7 CFR 1:1360, in no event, however, may a repty be simely filed in the communication of \$7 CFR 1:1360, in no event, however, may a repty be simely filed in the communication of \$7 CFR 1:1360, in no event, however, may a repty be simely filed. The property of the septical post of the communication of \$7 CFR 1:1360, and \$7 CFR 1	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of firm may be available under the previous of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In an event, however, may a rapity be kinely filled - If No period to rapity is specified above, the maximum statlety period will gary and will expire (x) (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the act or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (18 U S. C. § 133). Any reply received by the Cfinic bodow, the maximum start the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the act or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (18 U S. C. § 133). Any reply received by the Cfinic bodow, the maximum start the mailing date of this communication. - Failure term adjustment. Sea 37 CFR 1.79(8). - Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 21 August 2007. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. - Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) <u>5-8.10-14.16.18.19 and 27-36</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) <u>5.78.10.11.13.14.16.18.19.27.29 and 31-36</u> is/are rejected. - Type Claim(s) <u>5.78.10.11.13.14.16.18.19.27.29 and 31-36</u> is/are rejected. - Type Claim(s) <u>5.78.10.11.13.14.16.18.19.27.29 and 31-36</u> is/are rejected to by the Examiner. - Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 □ The drawing(s) filled on <u>is/are</u> : a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. - Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 □ The oath or declaration								
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Estanciano of the may be available under the provisions of 37 GFt 1.1360, in no event, nower, may a reply be thinly filed after SIX (9) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication of 37 GFt 1.1360, in no event, however, may a reply be thinly filed after SIX (9) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication of 17 GFt 1.1360, in no event, however, may a reply be them yellow and the provision and the provision that so the extended period for right of the provision and the provision that so the extended period for right of the provision and the provision of the provision and the provision an	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 August 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 5.8.10.14.16.18.19 and 27-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 5.78.10.11.13.14.16.18.19.27.29 and 31-36 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6.12.28 and 30 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 5.78.10.11.13.14.16.18.19.27.29 and 31-36 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 3) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 30 Information Disclosuer Statement(s) (PTO-880) 4) Information Disclosuer Statement(s) (PTO-880) 5) Notice of International Disclos	 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 							
This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 5-8.10-14.16.18.19 and 27-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 5.7.8.10.11.13.14.16.18.19.27.29 and 31-36 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6.12.28 and 30 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 6.12.28 and 30 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 2. Priority Notice of Transpersor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) of Notice of Informal Patent Application. 5) Notice of Transpersor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) of Notice of Informal Patent Application.	Status							
This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 5-8.10-14.16.18.19 and 27-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 5.7.8.10.11.13.14.16.18.19.27.29 and 31-36 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6.12.28 and 30 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 6.12.28 and 30 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 50 Notice of Toristopracor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) of Notice of Toristopracor's Patent Drawing Rev	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Au	iaust 2007.						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 5-8.10-14.16.18.19 and 27-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 5.78.10.11.13.14.16.18.19.27.29 and 31-36 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6.12.28 and 30 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 6.12.28 and 30 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(e) Paper Nots/Mail Date		_						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	,_		secution as to the merits is					
Al) Claim(s) 5-8.10-14.16.18.19 and 27-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 6.12.28 and 30 is/are objected to. 7) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application	,							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>5.7.8.10.11.3.14.16.18.19.27.29 and 31-36</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) <u>6.12.28 and 30</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>5.7.8.10.11.3.14.16.18.19.27.29 and 31-36</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) <u>6.12.28 and 30</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Claim(s) 5-8,10-14,16,18,19 and 27-36 is/are p	ending in the application.						
6) ☐ Claim(s) 5.7.8.10.11,13.14.16.18.19.27.29 and 31-36 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 6.12.28 and 30 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application								
7) Claim(s) 6, 12, 28 and 30 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S8/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application	6) Claim(s) 5,7,8,10,11,13,14,16,18,19,27,29 and	,						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	7) Claim(s) <u>6, 12, 28 and 30</u> is/are objected to.							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colonical None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 20 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	·					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Application Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application								
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	- · · · · · ·							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	"See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	· u .					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Attachment(s)							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)							
5) Information disclosure diatement(s) (Frobbiot)								

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 11 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 11 depends from Claim 1 which is a cancelled claim. For purpose of examining, Examiner interprets Applicant intends to claim that Claim 11 depends from Claim 5.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 7 recites the limitation "the gain controllers" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "the comparators" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "the adders" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 2615

4. Claims 8 and 10 are rejected under second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112 by virtue of their dependency on claim 7

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 5, 31, 32-33, and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Brien U.S. Patent 6,429,737 (hereinafter, "O'Brien' 737") in view of Rosback U.S. Patent 4,641,361.

Regarding **claim 5**, O'Brien' 737 teaches a multi-channel PWM (Pulse Width Modulator) apparatus (see col. 3, line 63 – col. 4, line 9; see Figs. 1, 7, and respective portions of the specification), comprising:

a plurality of pulse width modulator (PWM 119, Figs. 1, 7) for modulating audio signals (112, 70, 71, Figs. 1, 7) into PWM-based multi-channel audio signals (outputs of PWMs; col. 3, lines 8-15; col. 5, lines 39-48); and

a gain control unit (volume control 114, Fig. 1) connected to the plurality of pulse width modulator (119, Fig. 1; PWM, Fig. 7) for control gains of the audio signals

Art Unit: 2615

received at the plurality of pulse width modulators, wherein the gain control unit independently controls gains of at least a portion (i.e., the whole signal) of the audio signals according to individual channels (col. 2, lines 8-39; volume control controls gains of each channel by itself, i.e., independently controls although not individually controls).

However, O'Brien' 737 does not explicitly disclose wherein the gain control independently control gains to be at different levels.

Rosback discloses a multiple band automatic gain control (AGC) circuit (10, Fig. 1) in which each frequency component is processed in a separate gain adjustment circuit (i.e., as a function of magnitude of the signal at the output of band splitter; col. 2, lines 25-45).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the automatic gain control (AGC) circuit taught by Rosback with the multi-channel PWM apparatus of O'Brien' 737 wherein the gain control independently control gains to be at different levels as claimed for purpose of providing the circuit response characteristics in the individual bands can be simultaneously controlled as suggested by Rosback in column 1, lines 49-50.

Regarding claim 31, O'Brien' 737 in view of Rosback teaches the apparatus as set forth in claim 5. O'Brien' 737 in view of Rosback, as modified, further teaches wherein the gain control unit includes a plurality of gain controllers (VGA 16, 18, 20, Fig. 1. see Rosback, col. 2, lines 39-51), each independently controlling a gain of audio signals (i.e., as a function of magnitude of the signal at the output of band splitter; col. 2,

Art Unit: 2615

lines 25-45) received at a respective one of the pulse width modulators (119, Fig. 1; PWM, Fig. 7; see O'Brien' 737).

Regarding claim 32, O'Brien' 737 in view of Rosback teaches the apparatus as set forth in claim 5. O'Brien' 737 in view of Rosback, as modified, further teaches wherein the gain control unit (AGC circuit 10, Fig. 1; see Rosback) independently controls a first number of the audio signals to be at a first level and a second number of the audio signals to be at a second level (i.e., as a function of magnitude of the signal at the output of band splitter; col. 2, lines 25-45; independent, nonuniform, col. 3, lines 7-11).

Regarding **claim 33**, O'Brien' 737 in view of Rosback teaches the apparatus as set forth in claim 32. O'Brien' 737 in view of Rosback, as modified, further teaches wherein the first number is greater than one and the second number is greater than one (i.e., as a function of magnitude of the signal at the output of band splitter; col. 2, lines 25-45; independent, nonuniform, col. 3, lines 7-11).

Regarding **claim 36**, O'Brien' 737 in view of Rosback teaches the apparatus as set forth in claim 5. O'Brien' 737 as modified, teaches further comprising a controller to independently control phases of the audio signals, wherein the second controller adjusts phases of at least a portion of the audio signals to be different (delay timing control 120 for each PWM 119, Figs. 1, 4-8; col. 3, lines 16-22, col. 5, lines 16-48).

Art Unit: 2615

7. **Claims 13-14** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kondo et al. U.S. Patent 7,047,325 (hereinafter, "Kondo") in view of O'Brien U.S. Patent 6,429,737 (hereinafter, "O'Brien' 737"), and further in view of Rosback U.S. Patent 4,641,361.

Regarding **claim 13**, Kondo teaches an audio/visual receiver (DVD, VCR, tuner, monitor; col. 7, lines 49-56; see Figs. 18, 22, 38, and respective portions of the specification), comprising:

a reader (DVD player 96A, Fig. 38) configured to output a first data signal based on information stored in a recording medium (col. 42, lines 13-21);

a tuner (61, Fig. 18) configured to output a second data signal (col. 27, lines 34-40);

a decoder (81, Fig. 22) coupled to the reader configured to decode the data signals into audio signals (col. 31, lines 9-18);

al least one speaker (306, Fig. 42) configured to receive and output the .
PWM-based multi-channel audio signals (see col. 44, lines 45-51).

However, Kondo does not explicitly disclose a pulse width modulator device configured to modulate the audio signals into PWM-based multi-channel audio signals that comprises, a plurality of pulse width modulators configured to modulate the audio signals into the PWM-based multi-channel audio signals; and a plurality of signal

Art Unit: 2615

controllers coupled to the plurality of modulators to independently control at least one of input signals and output signals of the plurality of pulse width modulators.

O'Brien' 737 teaches a pulse width modulator device configured to modulate the audio signals into PWM-based multi-channel audio signals (see col. 3, line 63 – col. 4, line 9; see Figs. 1, 7, and respective portions of the specification), that comprising:

a plurality of pulse width modulation means (PWM 119, Figs. 1, 7) for modulating audio signals (112, 70, 71, Figs. 1, 7) into PWM-based multi-channel audio signals (outputs of PWMs; col. 3, lines 8-15; col. 5, lines 39-48); and

a plurality of signal controllers (via volume control 114, Fig. 1) coupled to the plurality of modulators to independently control at least one of input signals and output signals of the plurality of pulse width modulators (col. 2, lines 8-39), wherein the plurality of signal controllers comprise a plurality of gain controllers (via volume control 114, Fig. 1) that each receive one of the audio signals received for a corresponding one of the plurality of pulse width modulators (PWM 119, see Figs. 1, 7), wherein the gain controllers independently control gains of the received audio signals according to individual channels (col. 2, lines 8-39; volume control controls gains of each channel by itself, i.e., independently controls although not individually controls).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated a pulse width modulator of O'Brien' 737 device teaching with an audio/visual receiver of Kondo to obtain a an audio/visual receiver as claimed for purpose of reducing or eliminating noise that leak from one channel to another, as suggested by O'Brien' 737 in column 4, lines 63-67.

Art Unit: 2615

However, Kondo in view of O'Brien' 737 does not explicitly disclose wherein the gain control independently control gains to be at different levels.

Rosback discloses a multiple band automatic gain control (AGC) circuit (10, Fig. 1) in which each frequency component is processed in a separate gain adjustment circuit (i.e., as a function of magnitude of the signal at the output of band splitter; col. 2, lines 25-45).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the automatic gain control (AGC) circuit taught by Rosback with the audio/visual receiver of Kondo in view of O'Brien' 737 wherein the gain control independently control gains to be at different levels as claimed for purpose of providing the circuit response characteristics in the individual bands can be simultaneously controlled as suggested by Rosback in column 1, lines 49-50.

Regarding **claim 14**, O'Brien' 737, as modified, further teaches wherein the plurality of signal controllers comprise a plurality of phase shifters that phase-shift modulated output signals received from the pulse width modulators (delay timing control 120 for each PWM 119, Figs. 1, 7; col. 3, lines 16-22).

8. Claims 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kondo et al. U.S. Patent 7,047 (hereinafter, "Kondo") in view of O'Brien U.S. Patent 6,429,737 (hereinafter, "O'Brien' 737"), in view of Rosback U.S. Patent 4,641,361and further in view of Beard U.S. Patent 5,796,359.

Art Unit: 2615

Regarding **claim 16**, Kondo in view of O'Brien in view of Rosback teaches the receiver of claim 14.

However, Kondo in view of O'Brien in view of Rosback does not explicitly disclose wherein the plurality of signal controllers comprising a plurality of controllers that independently enable the plurality of pulse width modulators according to individual channels.

Beard discloses a data conversion system (10, 50, Figs. 1, 2) having pulse width modulation (24) in which the control circuitry (40) selectively disables the pulse-width modulator (24, col. 2, lines 45-52; col. 5, lines 41-48; col. 6, lines 30-33).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated a control circuitry of Beard teaching with a receiver of Kondo in view of O'Brien' 737 in view of Rosback to obtain a control means for independently turning on/off the plurality of pulse width modulation means according to individual channels as claimed for purpose of providing a lower costs solution to data conversion and data processing than was otherwise available, as suggested by Beard in column 2, lines 43-45.

Regarding **claim 18**, this claim has similar limitations as Claim 16. Therefore it is interpreted and rejected for the reasons set forth in the rejection of Claim 16.

Art Unit: 2615

9. Claims 27 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kondo et al. U.S. Patent 7,047 (hereinafter, "Kondo") in view of O'Brien U.S. Patent 6,429,737 (hereinafter, "O'Brien' 737"), and further in view of Beard U.S. Patent 5,796,359.

Regarding **claim 27**, Kondo teaches an audio/visual receiver (DVD, VCR, tuner, monitor; col. 7, lines 49-56; see Figs. 18, 22, 38, and respective portions of the specification), comprising:

a reader (DVD player 96A, Fig. 38) configured to output a first data signal based on information stored in a recording medium (col. 42, lines 13-21);

a tuner (61, Fig. 18) configured to output a second data signal (col. 27, lines 34-40);

a decoder (81, Fig. 22) coupled to the reader configured to decode the data signals into audio signals (col. 31, lines 9-18);

al least one speaker (306, Fig. 42) configured to receive and output the PWM-based multi-channel audio signals (see col. 44, lines 45-51).

However, Kondo does not explicitly disclose a pulse width modulator device configured to modulate the audio signals into PWM-based multi-channel audio signals that comprises, a plurality of pulse width modulators configured to modulate the audio signals into the PWM-based multi-channel audio signals; and a plurality of signal controllers coupled to the plurality of modulators to independently control at least one of input signals and output signals of the plurality of pulse width modulators.

Art Unit: 2615

O'Brien' 737 teaches a pulse width modulator device configured to modulate the audio signals into PWM-based multi-channel audio signals (see col. 3, line 63 – col. 4, line 9; see Figs. 1, 7, and respective portions of the specification), that comprising:

a plurality of pulse width modulation means (PWM 119, Figs. 1, 7) for modulating audio signals (112, 70, 71, Figs. 1, 7) into PWM-based multi-channel audio signals (outputs of PWMs; col. 3, lines 8-15; col. 5, lines 39-48); and

a plurality of signal controllers (via volume control 114, Fig. 1) coupled to the plurality of modulators to independently control at least one of input signals and output signals of the plurality of pulse width modulators (col. 2, lines 8-39), wherein the plurality of signal controllers comprise a plurality of gain controllers (via volume control 114, Fig. 1) that each receive one of the audio signals received for a corresponding one of the plurality of pulse width modulators (PWM 119, see Figs. 1, 7), wherein the gain controllers independently control gains of the received audio signals according to individual channels (col. 2, lines 8-39; volume control controls gains of each channel by itself, i.e., independently controls although not individually controls).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated a pulse width modulator of O'Brien' 737 device teaching with an audio/visual receiver of Kondo to obtain a an audio/visual receiver as claimed for purpose of reducing or eliminating noise that leak from one channel to another, as suggested by O'Brien' 737 in column 4, lines 63-67.

Art Unit: 2615

However, Kondo in view of O'Brien does not explicitly disclose wherein the plurality of signal controllers comprising a plurality of controllers that independently enable the plurality of pulse width modulators according to individual channels.

Beard discloses a data conversion system (10, 50, Figs. 1, 2) having pulse width modulation (24) in which the control circuitry (40) selectively disables the pulse-width modulator (24, col. 2, lines 45-52; col. 5, lines 41-48; col. 6, lines 30-33).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated a control circuitry of Beard teaching with a receiver of Kondo in view of O'Brien' 737 to obtain a control means for independently turning on/off the plurality of pulse width modulation means according to individual channels as claimed for purpose of providing a lower costs solution to data conversion and data processing than was otherwise available, as suggested by Beard in column 2, lines 43-45.

Regarding claim 29, Kondo in view of O'Brien and further in view of Beard teaches the receiver of claim 27. O'Brien' 737 as modified further teaches wherein the plurality of signal controllers (via volume control 114, Fig. 1) comprise a plurality of gain controllers (volume control 114, Fig. 1) that receive one of the audio signals received at a corresponding one of the plurality of pulse width modulators (PWM 119, Figs. 1, 7; col. 3, lines 8-15; col. 5, lines 39-48), wherein the gain controllers independently control gains of the received audio signals according to individual channels (col. 2, lines 8-39;

Art Unit: 2615

volume controls gains of each channel by itself, i.e., independently controls although not individually controls).

10. **Claims 19** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Brien U.S. Patent 6,429,737 (hereinafter, "O'Brien' 737") in view of Rosback U.S. Patent 4,641,361, and further in view of Beard U.S. Patent 5,796,359.

Regarding **claim 19**, O'Brien' 737 teaches a multi-channel PWM (Pulse Width Modulator) apparatus (see col. 3, line 63 – col. 4, line 9; see Figs. 1, 7, and respective portions of the specification), comprising:

a plurality of pulse width modulators (PWM 119, Figs. 1, 7) configured to modulate audio signals (112, 70, 71, Figs. 1, 7) into PWM-based multi-channel audio signals (outputs of PWMs; col. 3, lines 8-15; col. 5, lines 39-48); and

a plurality of signal controllers (via volume control 114, Fig. 1) coupled to the plurality of modulators for controlling at least one of input signals and output signals of the plurality of pulse width modulators (col. 2, lines 8-39), wherein the plurality of signal controllers comprise a plurality of phase shifting means for phase shifter modulated output signals received from the pulse width modulation (delay timing control 120 for each PWM 119, Figs. 1, 7; col. 3, lines 16-22),

wherein the plurality of signal controller comprise a plurality of gain controllers (i.e., controller, via volume control 114, Fig. 1) for receiving the audio signals received at the plurality of pulse width modulators (PWM 119, see Figs. 1, 7), wherein

Art Unit: 2615

the gain controller independently controls gains of at least a portion (i.e., the whole signal) of the received audio signals according to individual channels of the pulse width modulators (col. 2, lines 8-39; volume control controls gains of each channel by itself, i.e., independently controls although not individually controls), and

wherein the plurality of signal controllers comprise a plurality of controllers for independently control the plurality of pulse width modulators according to said individual channels, while audio signals are being received at said PWM apparatus (col. 2, lines 8-39; volume control controls gains of each channel by itself, i.e., independently controls although not individually controls).

However, O'Brien' 737 does not explicitly disclose wherein the gain controllers independently control gains of the received audio signal to be different.

Rosback discloses a multiple band automatic gain control (AGC) circuit (10, Fig. 1) in which each frequency component is processed in a separate gain adjustment circuit (i.e., as a function of magnitude of the signal at the output of band splitter; col. 2, lines 25-45).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the automatic gain control (AGC) circuit taught by Rosback with the multi-channel PWM apparatus of O'Brien' 737 wherein the gain controllers independently control gains of the received audio signal to be different as claimed for purpose of providing the circuit response characteristics in the individual bands can be simultaneously controlled as suggested by Rosback in column 1, lines 49-50.

Art Unit: 2615

However, O'Brien' 737 in view of Rosback does not explicitly disclose wherein a plurality of controllers for independently turning on/off the plurality of pulse width modulation means according to individual channels.

Beard discloses a data conversion system (10, 50, Figs. 1, 2) having pulse width modulation (24) in which the control circuitry (40) selectively disables the pulse-width modulator (24, col. 2, lines 45-52; col. 5, lines 41-48; col. 6, lines 30-33).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated a control circuitry of Beard teaching with an apparatus of O'Brien' 737 in view of Rosback to obtain a plurality of controllers for independently turning on/off the plurality of pulse width modulation means according to individual channels as claimed for purpose of providing a lower costs solution to data conversion and data processing than was otherwise available, as suggested by Beard in column 2, lines 43-45.

11. Claims 11, 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Brien U.S. Patent 6,429,737 (hereinafter, "O'Brien' 737") in view of Rosback U.S. Patent 4,641,361, and further in view of Yoshida U.S. Patent 4,173,739.

Regarding **claim 11**, O'Brien' 737 in view of Rosback teaches the apparatus as set forth in claim 5. However, O'Brien' 737 in view of Rosback does not explicitly disclose further comprising: a controller for independently turning on/off the plurality of pulse width modulators according the individual channels.

0111 0 0111 01 1 1 d 1 1 d 1 1 d 2 d 1 1 d 1 d 2 d 1 1

Art Unit: 2615

Yoshida discloses an overload detecting circuit for a PWM amplifier, which includes a DC voltage source having a pair of terminals; first and second switching elements connected in series between the terminals of the DC voltage source; a signal input circuit for ON/OFF controlling the first and second switching elements (col. 1, lines 7-11; col. 2, lines 3-9).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the overload detecting circuit for a PWM amplifier taught by Yoshida with an apparatus of O'Brien' 737 in view of Rosback to obtain a controller for independently turning on/off the plurality of pulse width modulators according the individual channels as claimed for purpose of decreasing the generation of heat in the switching element, as suggested by Yoshida in column 1, lines 64-65.

Regarding **claim 34**, O'Brien' 737 in view of Rosback teaches the apparatus as set forth in claim 5. However, O'Brien' 737 in view of Rosback does not explicitly disclose further comprising: a controller to selectively turn off one or more of the pulse width modulators when a predetermined condition is detected.

Yoshida discloses an overload detecting circuit for a PWM amplifier, which includes a DC voltage source having a pair of terminals; first and second switching elements connected in series between the terminals of the DC voltage source; a signal input circuit for ON/OFF controlling the first and second switching elements (col. 1, lines 7-11; col. 2, lines 3-9).

Art Unit: 2615

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the overload detecting circuit for a PWM amplifier taught by Yoshida with an apparatus of O'Brien' 737 in view of Rosback to obtain a controller to selectively turn off one or more of the pulse width modulators when a predetermined condition is detected as claimed for purpose of decreasing the generation of heat in the switching element, as suggested by Yoshida in column 1, lines 64-65.

Regarding **claim 35**, O'Brien' 737 in view of Rosback teaches the apparatus as set forth in claim 5. However, O'Brien' 737 in view of Rosback does not explicitly disclose further comprising: a controller to selectively turn off one or more of the pulse width modulators when a predetermined condition is detected.

Yoshida discloses an overload detecting circuit for a PWM amplifier, which includes a DC voltage source having a pair of terminals; first and second switching elements connected in series between the terminals of the DC voltage source; a signal input circuit for ON/OFF controlling the first and second switching elements (col. 1, lines 7-11; col. 2, lines 3-9).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the overload detecting circuit for a PWM amplifier taught by Yoshida with an apparatus of O'Brien' 737 in view of Rosback to obtain a controller to selectively turn off one or more of the pulse width modulators when

Art Unit: 2615

a predetermined condition is detected as claimed for purpose of decreasing the generation of heat in the switching element, as suggested by Yoshida in column 1, lines 64-65.

Regarding **claim 35**, O'Brien' 737 in view of Rosback, and further in view of Yoshida teaches wherein the predetermined condition is an overload condition (col. 1, lines 7-11; col. 2, lines 3-9).

Allowable Subject Matter

12. Claims 6, 12, 28, and 30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Con P. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-7532. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F (8:30 AM - 5:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Vivian C. Chin can be reached on (571) 272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

cpt CPJ October 24, 2007

> VIVIAN CHIN SUPERVISCON PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000